# A multi-component model of recognition memory: The interplay of input statistics, memory, and decision making

Olya Hakobyan, Sen Cheng,

Institute for Neural Computation, Ruhr University Bochum, Germany



Introduction

**Recognition memory** is the ability to judge whether an item or event has been encountered. Although recognition judgments rely on mnemonic, perceptual and decision making processes, the experimental outcomes largely ignore the latter two. In our computational model, we systematically study how each of these factors influence the ROC-curves, a common measure to quantify recognition performance.



The memory system scales the input representations before memory noise is added. Scaling increases the inter-item distance and results in better correlations between the original and noisy patterns.

## Results



The model accounts for the effect of hippocampal lesions, which lead to higher decrease of the y-offset (R) compared to the curvilinearity (F). Different memory processes are not required.



#### Changes in criterion placement result in drastic alterations of the ROCparameters





Memory retrieval and recognition decision

At retrieval targets (studied items) and lures (new items) are used as retrieval cues. Each of these cues is compared to all the stored items and the one with the smallest distance is retrieved. If that distance is smaller than a given threshold, the item is considered old.  $\lambda$  is the overall liberal bias.



### References

**Bowles**, B., Crupi, C., Mirsattari, S. M., Pigott, S. E., Parrent, A. G., Pruessner, J. C., Yonelinas, A. P., and Kohler, S. (2007). Impaired familiarity with preserved recollection after anterior temporal-lobe resection that spares the hippocampus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,

**Farovik**, A., Dupont, L. M., Arce, M., and Eichenbaum, H. (2008). Medial prefrontal cortex supports recollection, but not familiarity, in the rat. The Journal of neuroscience.

MacPherson, S. E., Bozzali, M., Cipolotti, L., Dolan, R. J., Rees, J. H., and Shallice, T. (2008). Eect of frontal lobe lesions on the recollection and familiarity components of recognition memory. Neuropsychologia, **Rotello**, C. M., Macmillan, N. A., and van Tassel, G. (2000). Recall-to-Reject in Recognition: Evidence from

ROC Curves. Journal of Memory and Language, Wais, P. E., Wixted, J. T., Hopkins, R. O., and Squire, L. R. (2006). The Hippocampus Supports both the

Recollection and the Familiarity Components of Recognition Memory. Neuron

**Yonelinas**, A. P., Kroll, N. E. A., Quamme, J. R., Lazzara, M. M., Sauvage, M.-J., Widaman, K. F., and Knight, R. T. (2002). Effects of extensive temporal lobe damage or mild hypoxia on recollection and familiarity. Nat Neurosci,

False alarm rate		False alarm rate	
<ul> <li>ω=0.35, λ=0.008</li> <li>exp-C</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>w=0.4, λ=0.01</li> <li>exp-F</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ω=0.3, λ=0.006</li> <li>exp-C</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>w=0.35, λ=0.01</li> <li>exp-P</li> </ul>

Increased liberal bias  $(\lambda)$  in some conditions could explain performance differences in conditions such as frontal lesions or case studies.



scenes) can directly lead to performance differences.

## Conclusions

1.8

The features of the recognition ROC-curves reflect a complex relationship between perceptual, mnemonic and decision making processes rather than the simplified notion of familiarity and recollection.

1.4

\* Faces,p=1.0

• Faces,p=1.8

1.0

0.5

False alarm rate

0.0 + 1.0

Caution should be exercised when interpreting ROC-findings since the aforementioned factors are often not considered, resulting in controversies.